Individuals with single versus multiple suicide attempts over 10 years of prospective follow-up


Abstract

Background: The study attempted to identify characteristics that differentiate multiple suicide attempters from single attempters in individuals with personality disorders (PDs) and/or major depression.

Method: Participants were 431 participants enrolled in the Collaborative Longitudinal Study of Personality Disorders from July 1996 to June 2008. Suicide attempts were assessed with the Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation at 6 and 12 months, then yearly through 10 years. Logistic regression was used to compare single attempters to multiple attempters on Axis I and II psychiatric disorders and personality trait variables.

Results: Twenty-one percent of participants attempted suicide during the 10 years of observation, with 39 (9.0%) reporting a single suicide attempt and 54 (12.5%) reporting multiple suicide attempts. Although no significant differences were found in baseline Axis I disorders, multiple attempters were significantly more likely to meet criteria for borderline personality disorder and to have higher impulsivity scores than single attempters.

Conclusion: These results underscore the importance of considering both personality disorders and traits in the assessment of suicidality.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Suicide is a leading cause of death worldwide [1]. An estimated 2.7% of individuals in the United States have made at least one attempt in their lifetime [2]. A history of suicide attempt(s) constitutes one of the strongest predictors of subsequent attempts [3,4], and repetition of suicide attempts increases both the risk of further attempts [5] and eventual suicide completion [6].

Although researchers have traditionally examined all suicide attempters in one category, emerging research suggests that individuals who make multiple suicide attempts (MSA) may represent a distinct population from those reporting a single suicide attempt (SSA) [7,8]. Research comparing
single to multiple attempters has found more persistent and severe psychiatric symptoms and higher rates of psychiatric comorbidity associated with MSA [7,9,10]. Pagura and colleagues [7] found that comorbidity of three or more psychiatric disorders distinguished community MSA from SSA individuals. Multiple suicide attempts have been associated with anxiety, mood, and substance use disorders [7,11].

While past research has linked suicide attempts to depression, anxiety, and substance use disorders generally [7,11,12], less research has focused on specific Axis I disorders. Several studies have linked suicide with trauma history [13], yet the relationship of PTSD to multiple suicide attempts is poorly understood. Surprisingly, most studies investigating anxiety disorders among single versus multiple attempters have not addressed PTSD specifically [7,11], examining instead differences in traumatic experiences [7,14,15]. Similarly, research has investigated panic disorder as a predictor of suicide attempts [16], but not contrasting single versus multiple attempters.

Potential differences in personality disorder (PD) or trait comorbidity have received even less attention. Indeed, one key research limitation to date is that the association between comorbidity of mental disorders and MSA may result from unassessed PDs. Extant research has focused on borderline personality disorder (BPD), with several studies indicating that multiple attempters are more likely to meet criteria for BPD than single attempters [11,17,18]. Several studies have linked anger and aggression with suicide attempts [19-21]; one study indicated higher levels of trait anger in MSA than SSA adolescents [9]. Other personality traits frequently associated with suicide behavior, such as impulsivity and negative affectivity [22], remain relatively unexplored in relation to number of suicide attempts.

Extant research suggests notable differences between single and multiple attempters but has several limitations. Aside from one study [16], research examining SSA versus MSA has used cross-sectional, retrospective data or evaluated selective samples of emergency room admissions following suicide attempt, thus precluding causal inferences. Prospective, longitudinal investigations provide important information free of retrospective recall biases that fills a knowledge gap about the relationship among psychiatric comorbidity, personality traits, and suicide attempts over the life span.

This study extends previous research by investigating suicide attempts in a prospective, longitudinal study of individuals with PDs. Earlier work has examined personality traits [23] and Axis I and Axis II disorders [24] as prospective predictors of suicide attempts over 2- and 7-year follow-up intervals. These studies found that: 1) worsening of major depressive disorders (MDD) and substance use disorders (SUD) prospectively predicted suicide attempts in the following month [24], 2) recent interpersonal loss and legal/criminal problems prospectively predicted suicide attempts in the following month [25], 3) affective instability was the only BPD criterion (controlling for self-injurious behaviors) significantly predicting suicide attempt status over 2 years of follow-up [26]; and 4) the personality trait of negative affectivity robustly and significantly predicted suicide attempts over 7 years of follow-up [23]. The primary aim of this prospective study was to examine differences in personality traits and Axis I/II comorbidity between individuals with single versus multiple suicide attempts over a 10-year period.

2. Methods

The Collaborative Longitudinal Study of Personality Disorders (CLPS) is a four site, naturalistic, prospective study of four PD groups: schizotypal (STPD), borderline (BPD), avoidant (AVPD), and obsessive compulsive (OCPD), and a comparison group with MDD but without PD. The design, methodology, sample characteristics are detailed elsewhere [27] as are Axis I and Axis II comorbidities [28].

2.1. Participants

Participants are 18 through 45-year-old treatment-seeking patients at clinics affiliated with the four CLPS sites, with additional recruits from advertisements and flyers. All participants currently or previously received psychiatric treatment. Inclusion required one or more PD targeted in the CLPS (STPD, BPD, AVPD, OCPD), assessed by the Diagnostic Interview for DSM-IV PDs (DIPD-IV), [29] or MDD without PD in the comparison group, assessed by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Disorders (SCID-I/P) [30]. Personality disorder diagnoses were confirmed by at least one other Axis II assessment, either the clinician-rated Personality Assessment Form [31] or the self-report Schedule for Adaptive and Nonadaptive Personality (SNAP) [32]. The target PDs were chosen to capture a broad range of personality pathology. Patterns of comorbid PDs approximated other clinical samples [33-35]. Further, participants’ treatment-seeking status provided a clinically relevant study group. Exclusion criteria were: acute substance intoxication/withdrawal, active psychosis, IQ less than or equal to 85, cognitive impairment, and history of schizophrenia, schizophriniform, or schizoaffective disorders.

The initial CLPS study cohort of 668 participants was interviewed at 6 months, 1 year, then annually following the baseline assessment. Each participant signed informed consent and the study was approved by the institutional review boards at the respective sites/institutions. This examination comprises the 431 participants (64.5%) with 10 years of follow-up data available. Of those, 93 (21.6%) attempted suicide during the follow-up decade. This excludes the 6 participants who committed suicide. Attrition analyses indicated no significant differences in demographic, diagnostic, or personality variables between suicide attempters with and without 10 years of follow-up data.
2.2. Measures

**Diagnostic Interview for DSM-IV Personality Disorders** [29] is a semi-structured interview assessing individual DSM-IV criteria for each of the 10 personality disorders. The PD diagnoses had good interrater and test–retest reliability: BPD (κ = 0.68 and 0.69), AVPD (κ = 0.68 and 0.73), OCPD (κ = 0.71 and 0.74), respectively [36]. Test–retest kappa was 0.64 for STPD, however the interrater reliable sample was insufficient to calculate κ [36].

**Longitudinal Interval Follow-Up Evaluation** [37] is a semi-structured interview designed to assess the longitudinal course of mental disorders, psychosocial functioning, and suicide-related behaviors. Questions probe whether subjects have engaged in any suicidal behavior, and episodes are coded by month of occurrence and for both intent and suicide-related behaviors. Questions probe whether subjects have engaged in any suicidal behavior, and episodes are coded by month of occurrence and for both intent and suicide-related behaviors. Questions probe whether subjects have engaged in any suicidal behavior, and episodes are coded by month of occurrence and for both intent and suicide-related behaviors.

**Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders-Patient Version** [30] a semi-structured diagnostic interview with established reliability, assesses 33 DSM-IV Axis I diagnoses. Interrater reliability kappas for Axis I diagnoses for CLPS interviewers ranged from .57 to 1.00 (median .76). Test–retest kappas ranged from .35 to .78 (median .64) [36].

**Schedule For Non-Adaptive and Adaptive Personality** [32]. The SNAP, a 395 item true–false self-report questionnaire, includes 13 standardized scales for DSM-III-R based personality dimensions in addition to 15 trait and temperament scales. The current report utilized raw scores from these trait and temperament scales: aggression, negative affectivity, impulsivity, disinhibition. The scales demonstrate good internal consistency and test–retest reliabilities [32]. Internal consistency reliabilities in this sample are 0.85, 0.83, 0.83, 0.86 respectively.

**NEO Personality Inventory Revised** [39]. The 240-item NEO-PI-R self-report questionnaire assesses the five-factor model of personality. Besides the five domains of neuroticism (N), extraversion (E), openness to experience (O), agreeableness (A), and Conscientiousness (C), the NEO-PI-R provides 30 facet scores, 6 per domain. This study utilized 4 facets: impulsiveness (N5), self-discipline (C5), deliberation (C6), and excitement seeking (E5), consistent with prior research [21]. Internal consistency reliabilities for the variables of interest in this sample are 0.71 (N5), 0.84 (C5), 0.77(C6) and 0.58 (E5).

2.3. Statistical Analysis

All analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0 for Windows. Categorical variables were evaluated using chi-square tests, continuous variables using t-tests. Following correlational analyses between trait predictor variables and assessment of tolerance and variance inflation factor to identify problematic collinearity, multivariate logistic regression was used to compare single to multiple attempters on personality trait variables. All analyses were two-tailed.
seeking, or self-discipline. However, multiple attempters reported higher baseline scores on disinhibition and impulsivity on both SNAP and NEO respectively, and lower scores on deliberation compared to single attempters. The four personality trait variables significant at the univariate level were included in a logistic regression comparing multiple to single attempters. In this model containing deliberation, disinhibition, and impulsivity (SNAP & NEO), only NEO impulsivity continued to distinguish between multiple and single attempters (OR=1.11, CI=1.00–1.23, Wald χ^2 =3.99, df =1, p<.05).

4. Discussion

This study sought to determine whether psychiatric comorbidity and personality traits differentiate single from multiple attempters in a longitudinal study of individuals with PDs and/or MDD. The study has three major findings: (1) Axis I disorders did not predict elevated risk of multiple suicide attempts; (2) BPD was the only disorder that distinguished between single and multiple attempters; and (3) although negative affectivity predicted suicide attempts in this sample [40], only NEO impulsivity differentiated between single and multiple attempters.

Importantly, we found no differences in Axis I psychopathology between single and multiple attempters. The study findings echo many others that have found high rates of Axis I comorbidity in suicide attempters [16]. A recent study found a differential impact of psychopathology related to repeated suicide attempt between men and women, with PTSD a risk-factor for re-attempt in women and substance misuse associated with multiple attempts in men [41]. However, our results suggest that they do not predict the elevated risk of MSA in a predominantly PD sample. Although we found no differences in rates of Axis I disorders BPD emerged as a risk factor for multiple attempts, consistent with prior investigations [11,17] and research highlighting poor psychosocial outcomes in BPD patients more generally [42].

While this study highlights the relevance of categorically defined BPD in predicting multiple suicide attempts it also underscores the utility of personality dimensions in the prediction of initial and subsequent suicide attempts. Research has highlighted the role of negative affectivity in suicide attempts [43,44]. Earlier CLPS findings identified negative affectivity as a robust predictor of suicide attempts at 7-year follow-up [23]. Yet while negative affectivity predicts suicide attempts generally, our results suggest that impulsivity may distinguish SSA individuals from those who make multiple attempts. Several researchers have highlighted an association between impulsivity and suicide [22], noting that the relationship between impulsivity and suicide may partly depend on how impulsivity is defined [23,45]. The current results suggest that NEO impulsivity, whose items reflect more circumscribed aspects of impulsivity, helps to distinguish single from multiple attempters. These results require replication and more research is needed to clarify the relationship between the various facets of impulsivity and risk for MSA.

The findings warrant interpretation in the context of several limitations. Attrition occurs in all longitudinal studies. Because this study used data from all 10-years of observation, analyses are based on a reduced sample. However, no baseline differences were observed between suicide attempters who completed 10-years of follow-up and those who did not. Like prior research [11,46] this study divided attempters into single and multiple attempt categories, yet it remains unclear whether attempter status is better conceived as a continuous instead of a categorical variable. Condensing multiple attempters into one category may have obscured important differences among those that make MSA. Status may be fluid: single attempters in this cohort may eventually make additional attempts or non-attempters may make their first suicide attempt. Finally, the present report may underestimate the number of suicide attempts in this sample: there was no third-party verification of attempts, patients with certain personality disorders may be more or less likely to disclose, and the study did not assess suicide attempts prior to study entry. However, this study represents one of the few prospective investigations of suicidal behavior; the assessment methodology and long period of study observation lend confidence to the results.

This study examined psychiatric comorbidity and personality trait variables as prospective predictors of multiple suicide attempts over a ten-year period. Borderline personality disorder was the only diagnostic variable that distinguished single from multiple attempters. Study results suggest a need for greater attention to impulsivity, an underlying process that cut across multiple psychiatric disorders, in the prediction of suicide attempts and underscore the importance of considering personality traits in addition to PDs in the assessment of suicidality.
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